The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 21

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte EUGEN SCHWARZ, GERNOT MÖSCHL, and KARIN MAUL

MAILED

Appeal No. 2002-1537 Application No. 09/529,543 SEP 2 6 2002

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE PARD OF PATENT APPF AND INTERFERENT

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before STONER, Chief Administrative Patent Judge, HARKCOM, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge, and WILLIAM F. SMITH, Administrative Patent Judge.

Per curiam.

On August 20, 2001, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal (Paper No. 13).

On July 1, 2002, appellants filed a communication requesting express abandonment of this application in view of a Continued Prosecution Application (Paper No. 20).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed August 20, 2001, is dismissed.

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

Bruce H. Stoner, Jr., Che Administrative Paţent Judge

Gary V. Harkcom, Vice Chief)

Administrative Patent Judge) APPEAL

) INTERFERENCES

BOARD OF PATENT

William F. Smith)
Administrative Patent Judge)

Millen, White, Zelano & Branigan, P.C. 2200 Clarendon Blvd. Suite 1400 Arlington, VA 22201

dem